

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: A303 Stonehenge
Date: 22 September 2022 14:18:50

I do not understand why you are asking for submissions yet again, but I would like to make the following points:

1. Unesco and others have made it perfectly clear that the proposed tunnel is too short. At the western end the cutting will disrupt the World Heritage property, especially at the elaborate junction with the A360. It is noticeable in other European countries that new roads are put in tunnels anywhere that they may have a serious impact on the environment.
2. The value of an upgraded A303 is questionable. The current scheme will lead to a large amount of traffic joining the westbound M5 at Taunton, which is already seriously congested.
3. It is Government policy to reduce car use, and replace petrol and diesel vehicles by electric ones. Electric vehicles will never have the same range, or be recharged as fast as a car can be refuelled, so they will be used in a different way. It is likely that we will make longer journeys by electrically powered trains, hiring an electric car at the station to get to our final destination. There are two rail routes from London to the South West parallel to the A303, neither electrified at present, but improving them would be a better investment than widening the road.

Jasper Selwyn

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]